

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/914,146	VAINSTEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ashwin Mehta	1638	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Ashwin Mehta.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Dr. Jianming Hao.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 October 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-20, 24, 25, 27, 28, 32

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed cancelling the non-elected claims, and claims 25 and 32, which were not needed and produced a potential indefinite issue due to claim amendments submitted September 7, 2005. Applicant agreed to the amendments. Applicant proposed changing the dependency of claim 24 from claim 22 to claim 21. As this change did not produce any new issues, the Examiner agreed. .